

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.usqto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,709 07/16/2002		07/16/2002	Zwi H Kalman		Kalman-1	4596	
28581	7590	06/28/2004		ſ	EXAMINER		
DUANE MORRIS LLP 100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST, SUITE 100					FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A		
PRINCETON, NJ 08540-6604			•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1.	1731		

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- 1					
-Ai		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/049,709		KALMAN ET AL.	U					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Christopher A		1731						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Per period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, he statutory within the statutory will apply and will extended the application.	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) day bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.					
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	May 2004.								
·		s action is non-	final.		*					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14-16,20 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 17-19 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from conside								
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	, ,						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended to									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•								
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureauee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been re ts have been re prity documents u (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receivee 7.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	ge					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [☐ Interview Summary (
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 2/15/02.	5) [6) [1	te atent Application (PTO-15)	2)					

Application/Control Number: 10/049,709

Art Unit: 1731

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 14-21 in the reply filed on 5/10/04 is acknowledged.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 5/10/04.
- 3. Claims 17-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 14 recites that each of the first and second phases form three dimensional interconnected networks of each phase. Claims 17-19 each recite that the second phase includes "particles". Particles do not make up an interconnected network, rather they are individual components.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olry et al. (5,132,169).

Art Unit: 1731

Olry et al. discloses a composite ceramic article comprising first and second phases (e.g. col. 2, lines 67-68) of ceramic material which form three dimensional interconnected networks (e.g. col. 2, lines 55-56) of each phase.

6. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hong (5,545,337).

Hong teaches a metastable product (e.g. col. 4, line 10) comprising a first immiscible phase of ceramic material (i.e. zirconia) and a second immiscible phase of ceramic material (i.e. yttria) which form a solid solution (e.g. col. 4, lines 2-3). Hong also discloses that this material is used in coatings (see e.g. tables).

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/049,709

Art Unit: 1731

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olry et al. (5,132,169) in view of Sacks et al. (5,851,942).

Olry et al. discloses a composite ceramic article comprising first and second phases (e.g. col. 2, lines 67-68) of ceramic material which form three dimensional interconnected networks (e.g. col. 2, lines 55-56) of each phase.

Olry et al. does not disclose the grain size of the ceramic materials. Sacks et al. discloses nano-scaled grain sized (i.e. 300 nam – see e.g col. 3, line 11) ceramic materials formed from polycarbosilane ceramics such as those used in Olry et al. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to produce ceramic materials have nano-scaled grain sizes in view of the teachings of the precursor materials in Olry et al. to achieve improved material properties (e.g. higher strength).

10. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olry et al. (5,132,169) in view of Wapner et al. (6,706,401).

Olry et al. discloses a composite ceramic article comprising first and second phases (e.g. col. 2, lines 67-68) of ceramic material which form three dimensional interconnected networks (e.g. col. 2, lines 55-56) of each phase.

Olry et al. does not disclose the volume fraction of the second phase, in fact it is silent with respect to the matrix/reinforcement ratio. Wapner et al. discloses a ceramic composite with

Application/Control Number: 10/049,709

Art Unit: 1731

a reinforcement volume percent of 45% (e.g. col. 12, lines 5-12). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to produce ceramic composites having this reinforcement volume precent in view of the generic disclosure of Olry et al. to produce a composite with the desired strength.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

Ca-FI

caf